

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/050,014	01/17/2002 590 09/04/2003	Oscar Khaselev	EXAMINER WONG, EDNA			
Glen E. Book Lowenstein San 65 Livingston	ndler Avenue					
Roseland, NJ 07068		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1753			
	•			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					//			
		Application No		Applicant(s)	Pa			
Office Action Summary		10/050,014		KHASELEV ET A	L. //			
		Examin r		Art Unit	//			
		Edna Wong		1753	U			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cove	er she t with the	correspondence ac	idress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory m vill apply and will expire , cause the application	vever, may a reply be tii inimum of thirty (30) da s SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	<u> August 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-	final.					
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims				ne merits is			
	Claim(s) 7-11 is/are pending in the application	1.						
-,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 7,8 and 10 is/are rejected.	•						
7)	Claim(s) 9 and 11 is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objec	ted to by the Exa	aminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	- , ,,	, ,	oved by the Examir	ner.			
40)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		ction.					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (†).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document				_			
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) 🗌 🖟	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisiona	al application).			
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	* *			·			
Attachmen		•						
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 1753

This is in response to the Amendment dated August 4, 2003. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Arguments

Specification

I. The abstract of the disclosure has been objected to.

The objection to the abstract has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' remarks.

II. The disclosure has been objected to because of minor informalities.

The objection to the disclosure has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' remarks.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 8 and 10 have been objected to because of minor informalities.

The objection of claims 1, 8 and 10 has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 8 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Application/Control Number: 10/050,014 Page 3

Art Unit: 1753

applicant regards as the invention.

The rejection of claim 8 has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' remarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims **1-6** have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Gernon et al.** (US Patent No. 5,296,128).

The rejection of claims 1-6 has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims **7-11** have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gernon et al.** (US Patent No. 5,296,128) as applied to claims 1-6 above.

The rejection of claims 7-11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gernon et al. as applied to claims 1-6 above has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

I. Claims 7, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gernon et al.** (US Patent No. 5,296,128).

Gernon teaches an electroplating solution for plating tin-bismuth solder coatings

Art Unit: 1753

comprising:

- (a) a sulfonic acid electrolyte (= methanesulfonic acid) [col. 3, lines 22-41];
- (b) a tin compound soluble in the electrolyte to form a tin sulfonate (= tin methanesulfonate) [col. 3, lines 22-41];
- (c) a bismuth compound soluble in the electrolyte to form a bismuth sulfonate (= bismuthous methanesulfonate) [col. 3, lines 22-41];
- (d) a non-ionic surfactant comprising a polyethylene glycol-block-polypropylene glycol with a molecular weight (= blocked EO/PO copolymer) [col. 4, lines 24-35];
 - (e) a grain refiner (= gallic acid) [col. 3, lines 3-12]; and
 - (f) an antioxidant (= gallic acid) [col. 3, lines 3-12].

Gernon does not teach wherein the molecular weight of the polyethylene glycolblock-polypropylene glycol is between 2,000 and 10,000.

However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because one skilled in the art would have been motivated to have modified the electroplating solution of Gernon with wherein the molecular weight of the polyethylene glycol-block-polypropylene glycol is between 2,000 and 10,000; and wherein the polyethylene glycol-block-polypropylene glycol comprises polyethylene glycol-ran-polypropylene glycol with a molecular weight between 2,000 and 10,000 because Gernon teaches the blocked EO/PO copolymer of:

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/050,014

Art Unit: 1753

wherein R represents a C_1 to C_{18} alkyl group, a C_1 to C_{12} alkyl benzene, a beta-naphthalene nucleus or a <u>hydrogen atom</u>; R_1 and R_2 may be hydrogen or methyl; m and n may be integers between 1 and 100; and X may be a halogen, alkyl, aryl, aralkyl, alkoxy, aralkoxy or <u>hydroxyl group</u> (col. 4, lines 24-35).

It appears that if m and n are integers between 1 and 100, the molecular weight of the polyethylene glycol-block-polypropylene glycol would have been between 2,000 and 10,000.

As to wherein the antioxidant comprises polyhydroxybenzene, Gernon teaches that in practice, tin and tin alloy electroplating baths are formulated with an antioxidant which prevents the oxidation of stannous tin to stannic tin. Many antioxidants, such as the dihydroxybenzenes, are believed to function by reacting with the active oxygen compound(s) responsible for tin oxidation (col. 1, lines 34-46).

Thus, adding a polyhydroxybenzene antioxidant to the electroplating solution of Gernon would prevented the oxidation of stannous tin to stannic tin, and also would have retarded the formation of sludges due to some of the tin precipitating from solution as a result of being oxidized either at the anode or in solution to the plus four oxidation state.

Furthermore, antioxidants such as resorcinol, catechol and hydroquinone are

Art Unit: 1753

commonly used in the tin and tin alloy electroplating art. It has been held that the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supports a prima facie obviousness determination. See MPEP § 2144.06 and § 2144.07.

Furthermore, Gernon teaches hydroquinone in the tin-bismuth electroplating solution (col. 6, Example 4).

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim **9** defines over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest the electroplating solution of claim 7 wherein the polyethylene glycol-block-polypropylene glycol comprises ethylenediamine tetrakis polyethylene glycol-block-polypropylene glycol tetrol with a molecular weight between 2,000 and 7,000.

Claim **11** defines over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest the electroplating solution of claim 7 wherein the grain refiner comprises an acrylic acid.

The prior art does not contain any language that teaches or suggests the above.

Therefore, a person skilled in the art would not have been motivated to adopt the above conditions, and a prima facie case of obviousness cannot be established.

Claims 9 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

Art Unit: 1753

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edna Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-3818. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am to 5:00 pm, alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-3322. The fax phone number

Art Unit: 1753

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1495.

Edna Wong
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1753

EW September 2, 2003